

**SYSTEMATIC REVISION OF THE SPHAERIINAE
(MOLLUSCA, BIVALVIA, VENEROIDA, SPHAERIIDAE)**

by

Taehwan Lee

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
(Natural Resources and Environment)
in The University of Michigan
2001

Doctoral Committee:

Professor John B. Burch, Co-Chair
Associate Professor Diarmaid Ó Foighil, Co-Chair and Cognate
Professor Eugene F. Stoermer
Associate Professor Michael J. Wiley

DEDICATION

This doctoral dissertation is dedicated to my mentors (John B. Burch and Diarmaid Ó Foighil), parents (Youngkyu Lee and Bongim Yeo) and wife, Yeonju.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am extremely grateful to my advisors, Drs. John B. Burch and Diarmaid Ó Foighil, for their continual advice, support and encouragement during my doctoral study. I would like to thank my other doctoral committee members, Drs. Eugene F. Stoermer and Michel J. Wiley, for their consistent interest in my research. I appreciate the considerable time and effort that my committee members have invested in this work.

I have been fortunate to have invaluable contributions from many people. My morphological observation relied heavily on Bill Heard's life-long sphaeriid collection donated to the UMMZ. Rafael Araujo, Mary Yong, LouAnne Reich Cooley, Pierre Deleport, Olaf Heilmayer, Hiroshi Ieyama, Cristián F. Ituarte, Alexei Korniushev, Claus Meier-Brook, Renée Sherman Mulcrone, Tim Pearce, Sheryl Roa, John Sparks and Mark Siddall sent me specimens. Daniel L. Graf developed softwares, Sequence Monkey and Branch Swinger, which I used frequently in most of my molecular phylogenetic analyses. Joe Quattro designed and donated the PGD primers. Joong-Ki Park provided the *Lasaea australis* PGD sequence and Margaret Kim Liu gave numerous tips on RT-PCR techniques. I thank all of them for their kindness.

Throughout my doctoral study, I have spent a significant amount of time with fellow students, Daniel L. Graf, Joong-Ki Park, LouAnn Reich Cooley, Renée Sherman Mulcrone, Sirirat Siripatrawan, John Sparks and Shane A. Webb at the UMMZ, and I am grateful for their friendship. Special thanks go to Profs. Byung-Lae Choe, Pyung-Rim Chung, Yi-Young Jung, Kye-Hyun Jeong and Jaejin Kim for their encouragement at various stages of my doctoral study.

I received financial support from the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan, as curatorial assistant in the Mollusk Division, from the National Science Foundation award OCE 961789 to Diarmaid Ó Foighil as research assistant, and from the Horace H. Rackham School of Graduate Studies, University of Michigan, with a Rackham Predoctoral Fellowship. This study was supported by Hinsdale/Walker Scholarships from the UMMZ and a research grant from the University of Michigan Biological Station.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, Youngkyu Lee and Bongim Yeo, and wife, Yeonju, for their devoted support. I would have never been able to finish this work without their help, encouragement and endless patience.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xi
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO THE SPHAERIIDAE.....	1
Sphaeriid Classification and Phylogeny	3
Sphaeriid Reproduction and Development	11
Polyploidy in the Sphaeriidae	15
References.....	17
CHAPTER 2. POLYPLOIDY AND MEIOSIS IN THE FRESHWATER CLAM <i>SPHAERIUM STRIATINUM</i> (LAMARCK) AND CHROMOSOME NUMBERS IN THE SPHAERIIDAE.....	42
Introduction	42
Materials and Methods.....	44
Results.....	45
Discussion	47
References	48
CHAPTER 3. 6-PHOSPHOGLUCONATE DEHYDROGENASE (PGD) ALLELE PHYLOGENY IS INCONGRUENT WITH A RECENT ORIGIN OF POLYPLOIDIZATION IN SOME NORTH AMERICAN SPHAERIIDAE.....	55
Introduction	55
Materials and Methods.....	58
Results.....	61
Discussion	66
References	71

CHAPTER 4. A PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF NORTH AMERICAN SPHAERIID GENERA BASED ON MORPHOLOGY	89
Introduction	89
Materials and Methods.....	94
Results.....	95
Discussion	96
References	101
CHAPTER 5. PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS OF THE SPHAERIINAE (BIVALVIA, VENEROIDA, SPHAERIIDAE) INFERRED BY MULTIPLE MOLECULAR SEQUENCE DATA SETS	121
Introduction	121
Materials and Methods.....	124
Results.....	128
Discussion	135
References	142
CHAPTER 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	194
APPENDICES	199

LIST OF TABLES

Table

1-1. Classification of the Sphaeriidae and geographic ranges of widely recognized sphaeriid genera and subgenera	29
1-2. Comparison of sphaeriid taxonomy between the Western and Russian systematic schools	31
1-3. Summary of the classifications for the Sphaeriidae among non-Russian malacologists	34
2-1. Chromosome numbers in the Sphaeriidae.....	52
3-1. Mitotic chromosome numbers and locality data for the taxa used in this study	76
3-2. Voucher specimen information, and GenBank accession number for the taxa used in this study	77
3-3. Sequence divergence of PGD alleles expressed by a single individual clam of polyploid sphaeriid species and by allele groups.....	78
4-1. Catalog of the studied taxa, information on specimens examined, and references to anatomical and life-history characters.....	108
4-2. Data matrix used in the phylogenetic analysis	113
5-1. Catalog of the studied taxa, voucher specimen information and sampling localities	148
5-2. Primers used to amplify fragments of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI), mitochondrial large ribosomal subunit (16S), and nuclear ribosomal Internal Transcribed Spacer 1 (ITS1) for each species studied	154
5-3. GenBank accession numbers for the taxa used in this study.....	155
5-4. Molecular character statistics for each partition.....	159
5-5. Average base frequencies for each original molecular data set	160

5-6. Sequence divergence and average transition/transversion ratio expressed by each molecular data set	161
5-7. Intra-specific sequence differences for each gene fragment observed between two different populations.....	162
5-8. Number of pairwise sequence differences among <i>Sphaerium</i> <i>striatinum</i> populations	163
5-9. Number of pairwise sequence differences among <i>Pisidium casertanum</i> populations for each gene fragment.....	164
5-10. Tree statistics for each partition.....	165
5-11. <i>P</i> -values resulting from the partition homogeneity test.....	166
5-12. Revised classification of the Sphaeriinae based on the separate and combined phylogenetic analyses of three molecular sequence data sets...	167

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1-1. Shells of various sphaeriid species	37
1-2. Diagrammatic representation of the ctenidial brood mass organization.....	39
1-3. Mitotic metaphase chromosome spreads from six sphaeriid species	41
2-1. Photomicrographs of the shells in three views of <i>Sphaerium striatinum</i> (Lamarck) collected from Maple River, Michigan, U.S.A. (UMMZ 265688)	53
2-2. Photomicrographs of mitotic metaphase chromosomes ($2n = ca. 152$) and meiotic metaphase I chromosomes ($n = 76$) of <i>Sphaerium striatinum</i>	54
3-1. Predicted phylogenetic trees for 6-Phosphogluconate Dehydrogenase allelic variation among polyploid sphaeriid clam species (A, B and C) if duplicated alleles (1 and 2) have maintained evolutionary independence and expression	79
3-2. Variable nucleotide sites for PGD alleles of polyploid sphaeriids.....	81
3-3. Phylogenetic analysis of sphaeriid non-recombinant PGD alleles (5 putative sphaeriine recombinants were excluded).....	84
3-4. Phylogenetic analysis of sphaeriid PGD alleles including 5 putative sphaeriine recombinants.....	86
3-5. Phylogenetic analyses of partitioned PGD allele sequences.....	88
4-1. Representative sphaeriid genera	115
4-2. Sphaeriid phylogenetic hypotheses.....	117
4-3. Strict consensus of 12 equally parsimonious trees ($L = 39$, $CI = 0.872$, $RI = 0.861$) obtained from the analysis including outgroup taxa.....	118

4-4. Unrooted strict consensus of 12 equally parsimonious trees obtained from the analysis of ingroup taxa only (L = 19, CI = 0.947, RI = 0.923)	119
4-5. One of 12 equally most-parsimonious trees rooted by outgroup showing character transformations	120
5-1. Pairwise p-distance comparisons showing the degrees of divergence among original data sets.....	171
5-2. Saturation test for each original data set using maximum-parsimony hypothesis suggested by all (COI, 16S and ITS1) combined data sets.....	173
5-3. Strict consensus of 280 equally most-parsimonious trees (L = 1077, CI = 0.273, RI = 0.597) obtained from the parsimony analysis of the COI sequence data set.....	175
5-4. Strict consensus of 40 equally most-parsimonious trees (L = 529, CI = 0.414, RI = 0.734) obtained from the parsimony analysis of the 16S sequence data set.....	177
5-5. The single most-parsimonious tree obtained from reweighted parsimony analysis of the 16S data set	179
5-6. Strict consensus of 168 equally most-parsimonious trees (L = 398, CI = 0.756, RI = 0.875) obtained from the parsimony analysis of the ITS1 sequence data set.....	181
5-7. Strict consensus of 22 equally most-parsimonious trees (L = 1557, CI = 0.335, RI = 0.653) obtained from the parsimony analysis of the mitochondrial (COI and 16S) data set	183
5-8. The single most-parsimonious tree obtained from reweighted parsimony analysis of the mitochondrial (COI and 16S) data set	185
5-9. Strict consensus of 2 equally most-parsimonious trees (L = 909, CI = 0.573, RI = 0.780) obtained from the parsimony analysis of the ribosomal (16S and ITS1) data set.....	187
5-10. The single most-parsimonious tree obtained from reweighted parsimony analysis of the ribosomal (16S and ITS1) data set	189
5-11. Strict consensus of 12 equally most-parsimonious trees (L = 1900, CI = 0.424, RI = 0.666) obtained from the parsimony analysis of all (COI, 16S and ITS1) combined data sets.....	191
5-12. The single most-parsimonious tree obtained from reweighted parsimony analysis of all (COI, 16S and ITS1) combined data sets	193

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix

- I. Rulings by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature regarding the family-group name for sphaeriid clams..... 200
- II. Morphological characters and their states used in the phylogenetic analysis of North American sphaeriid genera 202
- III. Aligned ITS1 sequences of the Sphaeriidae..... 206